

College of Marin's Accreditation History (2004-2014)

2004:

August **Institutional Self Study** provided to WASC (Team Visit: Nov. 2-4, 2004)

2005:

Jan. 31 ACCJC response: "acted to issue a **Warning** and asked that College of Marin correct the deficiencies noted. The college is required to complete a Progress Report by **March 15, 2005**. That report will be followed by a visit by Commission representatives...The accredited status of the institution continues during the warning period. However, the institution's accreditation will not be reaffirmed until the conditions which warranted the warning are removed."

Recommendations: "required to take prompt action to address" 1, 2, & 5; "also requesting significant progress be shown on" 3 & 4.

1. Effective governance structure
2. Reexamination of mission statement
3. Institutional planning process
4. SLOs at course, program and discipline level
5. Actuarial Study to determine college's unfunded retirement liability; implement plan to fund

March 15 COM submits **Progress Report** (Team Visit: March 24, 2005)

June 28 ACCJC response: "the report was accepted with the requirement that the college complete a progress report by October 15, 2005...**During this period the college will remain on Warning.**"

Recommendations:

1. Effective governance structure
3. Institutional planning process
4. SLOs

Nov. 1 COM submits **Progress Report** (Team Visit: Nov. 4, 2005)

2006:

Jan. 31 ACCJC response: "acted to accept the report with the requirement that the college complete a Progress Report by **October 15, 2006**. The report will be followed by a visit by Commission representatives. **During this period, the College of Marin will remain on Warning.**"

Recommendations:

1. Effective Governance
3. Institutional planning process
4. SLOs

Oct. 12 COM submits **Progress Report** (Team Visit: Nov. 13, 2006)
(rec'd by COM Dec. 4) Team Chairperson Sherrill Amador submitted final report to Commission.
Dec. 13 COM submits **Addendum to Progress Report**

2007:

Jan. 31 ACCJC response: "took action to accept the report, **continue the Warning Status**, and require that the college complete a Focused Midterm Report on issues of Commission special concern."
Recommendation:
3. Institutional planning process

Oct. 12 COM submits **Focused Midterm Report** (team visit Nov. 8)

2008:

Jan. 31 ACCJC response: "took action to accept the Focused Midterm Report...also acted to place College of Marin on **Probation** effective immediately and to require the college submit a Progress Report by April 1, 2008... The accredited status of the institution continues during the probation period."
Recommendation:
3. Institutional planning process

March 28 COM submits **Progress Report**
June 30 ACCJC response: "took action to accept the report, **remove the College from Probation**, and reaffirm accreditation...also took action to require the College of Marin to complete a Report by March 15, 2009...requires that the Report... demonstrate the institution's resolution of the recommendation..."
Recommendation:
3. Institutional planning process

2009:

March 18 COM submits **Progress Report**
April 30 COM submits **Addendum to Progress Report**
June 30 ACCJC response: "took action to **accept the report**". (no recommendations)

2010:

August 23 COM submits **Institutional Self Study Report 2010**

2011:

Jan. 31 ACCJC response: "took action to **reaffirm accreditation with a requirement that the College complete a Follow-Up Report.** " (by October 15, 2011) "The Follow-Up Report should demonstrate the institution's resolution of the recommendations as note below:"
Recommendations:
1. Institutional planning process

2. SLOs
3. Distance Education
4. Research
5. Student Services
7. Facilities Plan
8. Technology Plan
9. Governance and Leadership

Feb. 28 ACCJC sends **Certificate of Accreditation (valid until next evaluation 2016-17)**
 Oct. 4 COM submits **Follow-Up Report 2011** (team visit Oct. 31).

2012:

Feb. 1 ACCJC response: “acted to issue **Warning** and to ask that College of Marin correct the deficiencies noted. The college is required to complete a Follow-Up Report.”
 ...“The accredited status of the institution continues during the warning period.”
 Recommendations: (focus on Recommendations 1, 3, 5, 7, 8, and 9)

1. Institutional planning process
2. SLOs
3. Distance Education
5. Student Services
7. Facilities Plan
8. Technology Plan
9. Board

Oct. 10 COM submits **Follow-Up Report 2012** (team visit Nov. 7-8).

2013:

Feb. 11 ACCJC response: “took action to **remove Warning** (no recommendations)
 Oct.8 COM submits **Midterm Report 2013** (due Oct. 15) (no team visit)

2014:

Feb. 7 ACCJC response: “Based on the College’s Report and documentation submitted, the College **has met the Standards associated with Recommendations 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9**...The College of Marin will submit its Self Examination of Educational Quality and Institutional Effectiveness in preparation for the next comprehensive review in fall 2016.”

(Note: B. Schlaepfer indicated there are several boxes of exhibits from the 2004 Accreditation visit sent to a storage area in the Maintenance & Operations Dept.)