

Facilities Plan

2012



www.marin.edu

2012 FACILITIES PLAN PURPOSE

LETTER FROM THE SUPERINTENDENT/ PRESIDENT

College of Marin's 2012 Facilities Plan has been developed in support of the College's Educational Master Plan 2009-2019 (EMP) and represents an important component in our overall integrated planning efforts. This plan provides a comprehensive framework from which to address the facilities needs of the College for the benefit of the students who learn here, for the dedicated faculty who teach here, and for the staff who provide important support services.

The 2012 Facilities Plan will assist College of Marin in building and maintaining a sustainable infrastructure that we may all be proud of; and one which provides an optimal learning environment for our students, an inspiring place to teach and work for our faculty and staff, and a valuable cultural resource for our community at large.

I would like to thank the Facilities Planning Committee and all members of the College community who have devoted their time and energy to this planning process.

Sincerely,

Jurie arain Can

David Wain Coon, Ed.D. Superintendent/President

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Letter from The President/Superintendent01
1	Executive Summary05
2	 College of Marin Planning: Processes & People
3	 History of Facilities Plans (1924 through Measure C)



		_

Ľ



6

Page intentionally left blank.

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The 2012 Facilities Plan provides an account of the latest planning and construction at the College of Marin. Section Two, An Introduction to the College of Marin Planning: Processes and People, begins with the College's Planning Team, Mission, Vision and Values. An overview of each campus is provided followed by an outline of the people (faculty, staff, administrators and students) and governance structures and processes involved with facilities planning.

Section Three, A History of Facilities Plans (1924 through Measure C), provides background to the historical planning and construction undertaken at College of Marin since its inception in 1924. Most recently, the District is amidst the final planning, design and construction phases afforded by the 2004 Measure C Capital Facilities Program, funded largely by a locally supported facilities bond. Completed construction and projects still in progress are highlighted with greater detail.

Facilities planning has been developed in support of the District's Educational Master Plan (EMP). Section Four, the 2012 Facilities Plan, links planning to the EMP, to State standards, and to WASC standards. Supportive space requirements and justifications as well as future capital planning are detailed here.

Section Five, Sustainability of College of Marin's Facilities, details the Maintenance & Operations processes and procedures, and provides a description of a new software database system. As the District seeks the greatest attainable efficiencies in planning and operations, new software and processes are being implemented. Through direct linkage with the State Chancellor's Office FUSION database, the campus facilities can be assured of alignment with current facilities information and annual submittal requirements. Additionally, building and site information will be recorded and accessible for timely maintenance requirements. As a first step, the District is implementing a greater level of detail on two pilot projects. The system is scalable and future buildings will likely be added to this database.

Appendices of additional data and graphics can be found in Section Six. As the District continues its development of facilities in support of its Educational Master Plan, this document will be a guide for continued institutional effectiveness and collaboration in planning facilities, maintenance, and day-to-day operations.

Page intentionally left blank.

FACILITIES PLAN PARTICIPANTS

- Laura McCarty * Don Flowers * Raemond Bergstrom-Wood * Maridel Barr * Erik Dunmire * John Rodriguez * Fernando Agudelo-Silva * Robert Thompson* Scott Blood*
- * Facilities Planning Committee Member

David Wain Coon Nanda Schorske Sara McKinnon Al Harrison Ken Meier Tom Burke Bob Balestreri Melody Creel Chialin Hsieh Cari Torres David Leedy Heather Holliday

FACILITIES PLAN ARCHITECT

ARCHITECTURE/vbn 560 14th Street Oakland, CA 94612 Rob Barthelman Suniya Malhotra Daniel Clayson Rollen Perry Betty Poon Merle Cannon (consultant)

INSTITUTIONAL MISSION AND EFFECTIVENESS

College of Marin's commitment to educational excellence is rooted in our mission to provide excellent educational opportunities for all members of our diverse community by offering:

- Preparation for transfer to four-year schools and universities;
- Workforce education;
- Basic skills improvement/English as a Second Language;
- Intellectual and physical development; and lifelong learning; and
- Cultural enrichment.

The College of Marin is committed to responding to community needs by offering student-centered programs and services in a supportive, innovative learning environment with a strong foundation of sustainability, which will instill environmental sensitivity in our students.

(Mission approved by the Marin Community College District Board of Trustees on April 20, 2010)

COLLEGE VISION College of Marin will be a premier educational and cultural center that provides programs of the highest caliber to meet the needs of an increasingly interconnected global society. Our vision will be guided by our values.

COLLEGE VALUES Student and Community Centered Education: We promote student success by providing programs and services that are learner centered and reflect the changing needs of our students and surrounding community.

Academic Excellence and Innovation: We are dedicated to academic excellence and encourage innovation. We foster intellectual inquiry by encouraging critical thinking, information literacy and technical competence. We continually evaluate the effectiveness of our programs.

Collaboration and Open Communication: We cultivate a culture of mutual respect, open communication, collaborative working relationships and participation in decision making among students, faculty, staff and the communities we serve.

Diversity: We cherish a learning environment that celebrates diverse backgrounds and recognizes the knowledge and experiences among its students, faculty and staff. We will provide open access and strive to remove barriers to student success.

Sustainability: We will apply environmentally sustainable and green principles in our college community to ensure the future of our planet.

Accountability: We will be accountable for our decisions and actions on behalf of the students, college and community. Our decisions will be academically, fiscally and environmentally responsible.

(Vision approved by the Marin Community College District Board of Trustees in January, 2006)

DISTRICT/CAMPUS SITES AND FACILITIES OVERVIEW



Since its beginnings, the College of Marin has provided educational services to Marin County and the neighboring regions. The primary center of population in the County extends north and south along Highway 101. Included are the cities of Novato, San Anselmo, San Rafael, Fairfax, Ross, Larkspur, Mill Valley, Corte Madera, Tiburon, Sausalito, Belvedere and other communities in mostly urban areas. The district service area, and the

Kentfield Campus

county, extends westerly to the Pacific Ocean but topography, distance, and a sparse population limit participation from the more remote areas in the western region.

Demographics

Total population for Marin County was estimated to be about 254,700 persons in 2011. The percentage of population change from January 2010 to January 2011 is estimated to be 1.0%. The county's population growth is projected to be minor during the term of the District's Educational Master Plan. Additional information on the District's demographics can be found in the 2012 Educational Master Plan.

Access and Traffic

Access to both campuses from North and South is through use of Highway 101. The traffic congestion along Highway 101 is a continuous and growing problem for the County. In the morning students traveling from northern and central Marin experience heavy traffic commuting to Kentfield while in the late afternoon to evening, it is students from the southern areas that have commuting issues to Kentfield and to IVC. Public transportation to the District is accessible, but quite limited, especially to the Indian Valley Campus.



One Campus, Three Sites -Background History When first established in 1926, the

original name for the college was "Marin Junior College". It offered its first Associates Degrees in 1929. The College was renamed College of Marin in 1947. The District expanded in 1975 with the opening of a second college in Novato, referred to as Indian Valley College. In 1985, the two Colleges known today as

Indian Valley Campus

Kentfield Campus and Indian Valley Campus, were merged together, compiling the College of Marin into one entity that operates over two campuses. At the time that Measure C was passed by Marin voters in 2004, the physical campuses had seen very little change over the previous 40 years. The Kentfield Campus had 14 facilities on 77 acres (401,904 Gross Square Feet-GSF), all constructed prior to 1977. The Indian Valley Campus in Novato, with 333 acres (1176,820 GSF) has 8 facilities/ complexes constructed between 1975 and 1977. By the end of the renovation and new construction afforded by Measure C, the Kentfield campus will have demolished seven (7) buildings (or portions thereof) and built four (4) new, replacement facilities, modernized two (2), and updated site accessibility and parking facilities. The Indian Valley Campus received a modernization and addition to the Transportation Complex and a new Main Building Complex on the North side of the creek.

The Bolinas Bay Marine Center, acquired from the US Coast Guard in 1956, was the third site that made up the College of Marin. This field station, located about 30 miles from the Kentfield Campus, contains a house built c. 1924 and a research laboratory constructed in the 1960's. Over the years, COM Marine Biology classes were conducted at the site and youth groups used the facilities for summer programs. A number of issues associated with the property (adjacency to steep slopes and close proximity to San Andreas fault) prevented continued utilization. Classes have been suspended pending the outcome of strategies to revitalize the site. In order to restore use of the facilities in Bolinas, the District would need to develop a plan of action that takes into consideration the complex issues associated with the condition and location of the property.

BACKGROUND OF THE FACILITIES PLAN

The College of Marin is nearing completion of its 2004 Measure C Bond Program. Through use of its \$249.5 million dollars, the District has planned for, and executed the modernization and new construction of buildings critical to providing educational opportunities to its students and to the community. Nearing completion, the District is in need of documenting the new space that has been added to the campus, how it and remaining spaces on campus are utilized, how they meet the educational needs of its programs, and what are their on-going operational and maintenance costs. The District has also initiated development & implementation of a live-data tool that can capture on-going data adjustments and serve long-range needs for facilities planning and maintenance, in support of the District's Educational Master Plan.

FACILITIES PLAN SCOPE AND INTENDED RESULTS

In support of the College of Marin's Educational Master Plan, updated in February 2012, the 2012 Facilities Plan provides a guide for future campus development through documentation of:

- Post-measure C facilities;
- Systematic evaluation and response to other institutional data;
- Shared Governance participation throughout the process;
- Evaluation of current Maintenance and Operational data and costs with opportunity for continued growth in metrics to facilitate efficiency and effectiveness;
- A course of action for future planning; and
- Space utilization and fulfillment of programmatic need within existing and new Measure C facilities

INTEGRATED PLANNING AND THE FACILITIES PLAN

The College of Marin's Integrated Planning Model begins with the college Mission which informs the Educational Master Plan which then informs the Strategic Plan. From there the more specific master plans are developed and implemented. In the model below the Facilities Plan is seen after the Strategic Plan and is informed by internal and external research. It then, in turn, informs Program Review and Resource Allocation.

College of Marin : Integrated Planning



COLLEGE OF MARIN PARTICIPATORY GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE

College of Marin's governance system is guided by the California Education Code as specified in the Education Code section 70901(b)(1)(E) which reads: governing boards of community college districts will "ensure faculty, staff, and students the right to participate effectively in district and college governance, and the opportunity to express their opinions at the campus level and ensure that these opinions are given every reasonable consideration, and the right of academic senates to assume primary responsibility for making recommendations in the areas of curriculum and academic standards as well as other academic and professional matters as are mutually agreed upon between the governing board and the academic senate." Further, the College of Marin governance system aspires to establish and practice transparency in decision-making.

The 2012 Facilities Plan (FP) has been developed under the leadership of the District's Facilities Planning Committee (FPC), a participatory governance committee. As a participatory committee, the FPC is composed of members of the faculty, staff, and students.



FACILITIES PLANNING COMMITTEE	The Facilities Planning Committee (FPC), an on-going governance subcommittee of the Planning and Resource Allocation Committee (PRAC), operates to assure faculty, staff and student involvement in the planning, design, construction and upkeep of College-owned facilities, as defined in Appendix D. One of the FPC's responsibilities is to make recommendations to the PRAC on facility planning and deferred maintenance needs.
PLANNING DEFINITIONS	Facilities planning encompasses various types of work typically undertaken in a college facility:
	 Capital construction: large single projects to construct or modernize facilities, typically in excess of \$500,000
	 Deferred (also called scheduled) maintenance: smaller projects to repair or upgrade facilities, typically not accomplished until funds are available, but prioritized and planned
	 Preventive maintenance: tasks completed by maintenance departments specifically to avoid the need for future repairs (e.g., filter replacements, equipment tune-ups, painting)
	 Routine maintenance: tasks completed to repair or replace broken or outdated items (e.g., changing light bulbs, repairing broken windows)
FACILITIES MANAGEMENT	Capital construction is currently being handled by the Measure C bond modernization team, while the Maintenance & Operations (M&O) Department is responsible for deferred, preventive and routine maintenance.
	Requests for facility improvements come from the following sources:
	 Work requests sent to M&O
	Repair or maintenance issues observed on site by statt, taculty, students, and visitors
	Work recognized or planned by M&O staff during routine maintenance activity
	ADA Transition Plan developed by Accessibility Work Group
	Instructional program review
	 Administrative program review
FUNDING SOURCES	Funding is categorized by source:
	Regular M&O budget, prepared and administered by M&O department
	Measure C bond modernization program for capital projects
	 Energy savings realized from completion of bond projects (earmarked by the Board of Trustees for preventive and routine maintenance on bond-completed facilities)
	 State funds often requiring matching general funds, grants, rebates and other outside sources
	New general funds allocated for facilities

DEVELOPING RECOMMENDATIONS

In order to reach a specific recommendation for deferred maintenance funding by the PRAC, the Facilities Planning Committee:

- Collected and collated all known requests for facilities work
- Removed requests that are
 - Bond-funded
 - Routine in nature and can be accomplished within existing M&O budget
 Related to technology and therefore will be handled separately
- Categorized remaining projects (recognizing that any given project can be crosscategorized according to definitions, above)
- Sorted remaining projects in various configurations to develop a list of ranked projects. The list became the heart of the 2012 Facilities Plan, see page 40.

FACILITIES PLANNING PROCESS

The following sections describe both past planning efforts and the process utilized for this specific FP. ARCHITECTURE/vbn utilized a Process Plan (or map) to identify the participants, schedule, and required outcomes of the FP project. Within this plan, process participants are identified along the left column with a time line near the top of the page. Mapped through the process, then, are the tasks or required output of the involvement of the indicated stakeholders. In this project, the FP was completed by July 1, 2012 with the regular involvement and leadership of the District's Facilities Planning Committee (FPC).

The process plan defined structured meetings, deliverables, and timelines, but ARCHITECTURE/vbn was available to the District as needed, to ensure accuracy of District-provided data, to address questions of stakeholders, and provide interim information supportive of the District functions, meetings, and presentations.

The Facilities Plan deliverable was affirmed by the Facilities Planning Committee and the President's Cabinet before being presented to the Board of Trustees for approval and implementation.



2002 FACILITY ASSESSMENTS

OVERVIEW	The Marin Campuses were largely without modernization since construction of each facility. Notable deterioration of site and facilities needed to be defined. The desired outcome was to define utilization of facilities and magnitude of renovation.
DATA DRIVEN	The College of Marin prepared the 2002 facilities study in response to needed updates to accommodate changes in program and large extent of deferred maintenance.
OUTCOME	In recognition of the necessary facilities upgrades, maintenance, and potential new construction, the District determined a need to define a plan that would support opportunity for state and local funding support. Additional research and data would be required to adequately define the current conditions and desired outcomes.

2003 FACILITY ASSESSMENTS

OVERVIEW	Having completed facility assessments and utilization studies in 2002, the District developed a high-level Facilities Master Plan to document recommendations for upgrades and potential new facilities. The desired outcome of the Planning was to prepare justification for state and local funding.
DATA DRIVEN	In 2003, The College of Marin hired consultants to prepare Facility Assessments, Space Utilization, and Campus Planning studies that identified the required renovation and new construction to support the Educational Master Plan of College of Marin.
OUTCOME	In July 2004, the District completed a facilities plan that included organizing principles, long term goals for development, and a recommended projects list (see following pages) which became the basis for the Measure C Bond Campaign. Within this report came acknowledgement that additional research and planning would be required to define the complete identification of needs and proposed campus master plans (see 2005 Comprehensive Facilities Master Plan). In November of 2004, the District successfully passed Measure C, a locally supported \$249.5.55% general obligation bond

2003 FACILITIES MASTER PLAN (CONT.)

In early 2004, the Board of Trustees developed a list of desired projects based upon discussions with stakeholders and the 2002 and 2003 site and building assessments. Then Board member, Harry Moore led the charge in defining many of the projects. To-date, this list of projects is commonly called, "Harry's List". Select projects from this list later became part of the Measure C Bond Spending Plan.

2004 Harry's List (Pre-Bond Project List)

Project No.	Project Description
K.01	Site Roadways / Infrastructure Development Plans and Impact Reports
K.02	Modernization of Learning Resource Center, Conversion to Technology Center
K.03	Modernization of Harlan Center ***Now included as part of NAC
K.04	Modernization of Fusselman Hall
K.05	Science Center
K.06	Fine Arts Center (Fine & Performing Arts)
K.07	Modernization of Student Center
K.08	Diamond PE Center
K.09	New Campus Accessibility Improvements***scope performed in major project site boundaries
K.10	Fire Alarm Replacement
K.11	ADA, Fire- and Personal Safety building and path signage***limited scope performed
K.12	Not Used
K.13	Child Care /Development Center***Current BSP proposal
K.14	Not Used
K.15	New Facilities Management Center
K.16	Multi-Purpose Academic & Support Facility (NAC)
K.17a	Landscape, construction mitigation and replacement***scope performed in major project areas
K.18	Not used
K.19	New Learning Resource Center
IVC.01	Site Roadways / Infrastructure Development Plans and Impact Reports
IVC.02	Modernization of Pomo Cluster***Pomo 1&2 only Trans Tech
IVC.03	Capital Preservation of Ohlone cluster
IVC.04	Capital Preservation of Miwok cluster
IVC.05	Admin/Children's Center
IVC.06	
IVC.07	Capitol renewal and expansion of Central Plant***Plant #2 only and geothermal loop
IVC.08	Not used
IVC.09	Fire safety system project
IVC.10	Gas Main Replacement
IVC.11	Campus accessibility improvements***limited scope performed
IVC.12	ADA, Fire- and personal safety building and path signage***scope performed in major
IVC.13	New pedestrian bridges (3), entrance gates, pedestrian safety, lighting, etc*** limited scope performed
IVC.14	Enhanced entrance road landscaping
IVC.15	Not used
IVC.16	Commons/Quad
IVC.17	Not Used
IVC.18	Not Used
IVC 20	
IVC.20	
IVC.21	IErosion Mitigation
0.01	
0.01	
0.02	Ibolinas

ENVIRONMENTAL STEWARDSHIP (2004)

- **OVERVIEW** Of particular interest to the District in 2004, was the practice of environmental stewardship initiated by the US Green Building Council's LEED[™] (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design) program.
- **DATA DRIVEN** The USGBC had documented evidence of energy and water conservation, sustainable operation methodologies, and benefits of supportive learning environments.
 - **OUTCOME** In 2004, with the passage of Measure C, the Board of Trustees enacted a Resolution to "design, deconstruct, renovate, operate, and maintain District Facilities and infrastructure that are models of energy, water, and material efficiency while providing healthy, productive, and comfortable indoor environments and long-term benefits to students, faculty, and staff." With this Resolution, all new or renovated facilities are required to incorporate sustainable design criteria consistent with the USGBC LEED[™] Rating System, achieving what was then a LEED[™] minimum of 26 points. All projects undertaken by the District with Measure C funds have achieved LEED[™] accreditation or contributed towards campus-wide energy efficiency.

CAMPUS ACCESSIBILITY ASSESSMENT (2004)

- **OVERVIEW** With building code updates, the College of Marin's site and building accessibility required review and analysis to ensure the ability of all students to access the programs at College of Marin.
- **DATA DRIVEN** Several building code updates defined standards for universal accessibility that suggested that the College of Marin campuses would require updated accommodations; professional analysis was needed.
 - **OUTCOME** In April of 2004, the College of Marin enlisted consultants to prepare Accessibility Assessments at the Kentfield and Indian Valley Campuses. The result of these assessments provided valuable insight into opportunities to increase the accessibility of the District sites and facilities. Scope from the report continues to be identified in the District's modernization and new construction projects to ensure equitable access of all persons to the educational opportunities at the College of Marin.

COLLEGE OF MARIN	Access	Compliance	Survey Report				2-14-1
Campus: Kentfield Campus	Building/Part: Ph	Physical Educational Complex		Area/Floor: Lower Level			
Item Existing Architectural Barr and Proposed Solution	ier	Codes / Mitig	ation info	Qty	Unit	Cost	Total
 As-Built Description: Dispensers in sanitary facilitie: soap, sanitary napkins, seat cor receptacles more than 40" from operating slot or control. 	s, such as for towels, vers, etc., and waste n floor to highest	PCODE ADAAG CSAS	WG01A 28 CFR 35.133(a) 1115B.9.2	2	JOB	\$ 100	\$200
As-is Measurement: P.T.D: F.T.D:	48" 50"						
Proposed Solution: Relocate existing restroom acc	essories.						



Kentfield Campus

Largely unchanged since the construction of the Learning Resource Center in 1973, the Kentfield Campus did have some minor additions. Prior to the 2004 Measure C Bond Program, several temporary (portable) buildings were introduced to the site to support needed teaching, student services, and maintenance. Many of these facilities still exist on the campus today. It is through the maintenance of these facilities that they have served the college so well, most of them now beyond their serviceable life.

Indian Valley Campus

Except for a brief closure in the 1980's for water damage repair, the Indian Valley Campus did not see any sizeable renovation or new construction. Oversized for its student body, several of the buildings were leased to community organizations (schools) or remained empty. The rural location contributed to much of the decay of the facilities; high temperatures, hillside water runoff, creek and bridge deterioration, hillside/road deterioration, and aged underground infrastructure. That said, it is through the care of the campus administration and M&O team, that the facilities have been able to support the education of the Northern Marin community since 1977.

ND. BUILDING NAME Antb Boby F. Frider Antb Boby F. Frider Antb Boby F. Frider Antb Boby F. Frider Antb Boby B. Frider Michie A. Merzis Enclosing: Lab. Bererer Dassonnet: Meladia Lab. Bererer Dassonnet: Meladia Lab. Bererer Dassonnet: Meladia Lab. Annitistant Strukerts Baudia Theater Strukerts College Bookstrute (Did Dare Center Million CustRing Ant Lab. Baudia Theater Strukerts Borger Dassonnet Lab. Proj Charger Charger (Dringtanguage Hillion Cospationic Court Reporting Lab. Bereard Dassonnet Lab. Proj Struker-koker Bolding Parking Lab. Parol Darek-coker Bolding Parol



2005 COMPREHENSIVE FACILITIES MASTER PLANNING

OVERVIEW	With the passage of Measure C, the District was ready to undertake documentation of the required data and information that would define the implementation of projects to be afforded with the Measure C bond.
DATA DRIVEN	Through the preparation of the aforementioned studies, including the 2003 Facilities Master Plan, the District was ready to develop the greater data to support determining Measure C projects.
OUTCOME	In 2004, with the passing of Measure C, the Board of Trustees enacted a Resolution to "design, deconstruct, renovate, operate, and maintain District Facilities and infrastructure that are models of energy, water, and material efficiency while providing healthy, productive, and comfortable indoor environments and long-term benefits to students, faculty, and staff." With this Resolution, all new or renovated facilities were required to incorporate sustainable design criteria consistent with the USGBC LEED [™] Rating System, achieving what was then a LEED [™] minimum of 26 points. All projects undertaken by the District with Measure C funds have achieved LEED [™] accreditation or contributed towards campus-wide energy efficiency.
DEFINING THE VISION FOR COLLEGE OF MARIN: MEASURE C	On 09.20.05 at the Indian Valley Campus the Board of Trustees and District Administration took part in a Visioning Retreat to define elements of the future Master Plan for the Marin Community College District. Recorded here, are the results of the Goals and Objectives Brainstorming session and a Planning Exercise done to broaden the understanding of the complex needs of the college campus environment. Several of these early ideas were then shared in subsequent meetings with the campus and community stakeholders, who developed and supplemented the elements, then solidified the College's vision. The themes defined in the Visioning session continue to define the bold new vision for the future College of Marin.
Common Themes	 Unique programs Vocational training Organic agriculture and culinary programs Partnerships; 4-year colleges, community A stronger entry to immediate campus "Education Park" Health and wellness center Commercial vendor activities: bookstore, coffee shop Amphitheater with community use, possibly located near other community uses Housing –Older Center and student Athletic / recreation development The demolition of most existing buildings Solar: photovoltaic array, solar farm, solar heating

Preservation of open space

DISTRICT MODERNIZATION COMMITTEE

Following the successful passage of Measure C, the District Modernization Committee was established to guide the Measure C Capital improvement Program. The intent of this committee was to:

- Set the guiding principles and ensure compliance with the District's Facilities Master Plan and the Board of Trustees resolution on environmental stewardship.
- Put in place a mechanism for controlled implementation of the Capital Improvement Program and a review process to ensure work products comply with the District's Facilities Master Plan.
- Provide recommendations to the Board of Trustees following established protocols.
- Establish project priorities and budgetary management processes in coordination with the Board of Trustees and the Facilities Department for the Capital Improvement Plan consistent with the program schedule and budget.
- Ensure that the direction and guidance given is consistent, unambiguous and represents the mandates of the Measure C Bond Initiative.

Primary functions were defined as follows:

- 1. Ensure plans and documents are established to depict the desired architectural themes and site development strategies for each campus
- 2. Ensure development of district building equipment and material standards
- 3. Ensure development of district design guidelines
- 4. Ensure development of district furniture, fixtures and equipment standards
- 5. Review and comment on project specific design submittals, as required
- 6. Review construction bid summaries, as required
- 7. Monitor program and project schedules

Subcommittees were established as needed to participate in detailed reviews of the work described above. Subcommittee chairpersons were empowered to make recommendations for actions to be taken on issues within their defined scope of responsibility to the District's Modernization Committee and the President's Cabinet. The President, or designated representative, periodically updated the Board of Trustees regarding the work of the District's Modernization Committee.

PLANNING & DESIGN CASE STUDIES

The following Case Studies are provided as evidence to the process and stakeholder involvement through out the planning and design of Measure C Projects.

CASE STUDY CASE STUDY: Science, Math, and Central Plant

SCIENCE MATH & CENTRAL PLANT

One of the projects identified as highest priority for modernization in pre-Measure C college and community surveys was the Austin Science Center, which houses the college's math and science programs. As a result of facility condition assessments in conjunction with campus-wide master planning goals, the District Modernization Committee and the Board of Trustees decided to approve the construction of a new replacement science center as one of the first few projects in the Measure C modernization effort. The new Science Math Central Plant (SMCP) complex would house not only the math and science programs from Austin Science Center, but also the Nursing and Anthropology programs (aligned in terms of having laboratory facility needs), as well as the college's new central plant and data center.

At the beginning of the programming phase, application of the Title V space standards indicated a drastic reduction in the number of lecture and laboratory classrooms relative to the existing Austin Science Center. This was primarily due to the inherent contradiction between these antiquated standards and those of modern architectural and educational practice. It was quickly determined after engaging faculty and staff user groups that the current educational programs could not be delivered in such a scenario. As a result, a detailed utilization analysis was conducted in order to determine the appropriate number, size, and type of classrooms that would balance the desire for improved space utilization efficiency with the educational needs of the programs. The final result (see Appendix E) succeeded in decreasing the number of lab classrooms by 20% (15 to 12) and lecture classrooms by 25% (8 to 6) relative to Austin Science Center, and in ensuring an average utilization level for lab rooms of 37 hrs/wk, even greater than the guideline value of 27.5 hrs/wk suggested by state standards. At the same time, the college could preserve its existing math and science major transfer, General Education, and basic skills programs in a scheduling format that would both serve evening students and recognize the complex scheduling constraints embodied in the STEM Majors Master Schedule (see Appendix F).

Although the lecture room usage under these scenarios fell short of the 48 hrs/wk suggested in state standards guidelines, it was reasoned that these general purpose classrooms could be used by other disciplines at the college and become part of a larger campus-wide effort at improving the efficiency of room utilization.

IMAGE: SMCP Project



CASE STUDY CASE STUDY: New Academic Center

NEW ACADEMIC CENTER

The last major construction project funded by the Measure C bond involved the replacement of several older buildings (Admin, Business Center, Harlan Center, and Olney Hall) by a single complex housing both academic and administrative programs. One of the most notable features of this New Academic Center relative to the previous projects at the Kentfield Campus (PE, Art, Science), was its emphasis on general-purpose lecture classrooms. Due to both this chronology and emphasis, programming of the NAC played a pivotal role in determining the long-term status of lecture room availability for the entire campus.

Consequently, a special core advisory group was formed with representation from faculty, staff, and students (all endorsed by their respective senates), as well as administrators and modernization staff, in order to evaluate the campus-wide lecture room utilization and provide recommendations regarding the programming of the NAC project. In order to produce the final recommendations, the group met 2-4 times per month over a six-month period, while incorporating feedback obtained from presentations of the ongoing analysis (see Appendix G) to a wide range of governance and stakeholder groups, including: Faculty Academic Senate (May 6, 2010), Board of Trustees (May 18, 2010), Student Learning Council (June 22, 2010), College-wide Flex presentation (August 12, 2010), Faculty Academic Senate (September 16, 2010), Board of Trustees (September 21, 2010).

IMAGE: NAC Project



Recommendations were informed by state standards guidelines, room utilization data, class size distribution data, the campus-wide Master Schedule, and strategic priorities to serve evening students. One of the greatest challenges in achieving greater utilization efficiency is that the evening program serving working adults is currently one of the peak periods of lecture room usage on the KTD campus, thereby limiting opportunities for re-scheduling of classes into the "off-peak" afternoon periods. However, by the time the NAC project is completed in 2015, the college will have achieved an 18% reduction in its overall lecture classroom inventory (from 51 to 42), thereby making substantial progress toward its utilization efficiency goals. Although this reduction in classroom inventory does require rescheduling of some classes, an inclusive and transparent process using the Master Schedule as a framework should result in minimal adverse impacts upon students. From a longer-term perspective, if the college devises viable solutions in the future to further "smooth out" scheduling of its lecture classrooms.

CASE STUDY CASE STUDY: Responding to Community Demand by providing General Education classes at the Indian Valley Campus:

DEFINING TARGET MARKETS

In April, 2009, College of Marin faculty, staff and administrators embarked on a project to establish a master schedule for the Indian Valley Campus that would provide a two-year rotation of classes designed to satisfy the general education requirement to transfer to a CSU, UC four-year program and/or acquire an Associate's Degree. This project was initiated by the Dean of Workforce Development and College-Community Partnerships in response to community demand by Northern Marin County residents that the Indian Valley Campus be better utilized, and that a more comprehensive program of general education courses be offered. That resulting general education master schedule launch began in Spring 2011.

Defining and Researching the Target Markets: The first step segmented the markets and identified the specific needs of each target population. The second step identified the most common needs shared by all. The last step was to develop a program that met a demand for the greatest number of students. Surveys were conducted with local high school students, their parents and current IVC students. By defining the populations and researching their needs, it was determined that the master schedule should offer General Ed classes on weekday evenings. That recommendation included adding a science lab that would be offered in the evenings and weekends in the summers.

Target Market: The target market was defined as working adults and students in career classes interested in transferring and/or getting an Associate Degree. A second target market was the local high school student seeking college classes to either: a) accelerate college advancement, b) pick up needed credits for graduation, and/or c) get career skills to make part-time work more lucrative and related to ultimate career goals.

Weekday nights would be for General Ed classes and focused weekend offerings for career classes: The master schedule developed provides the required general education courses on weeknights, career intensives on the weekends and a steady diet of pre-college Math and English on Monday, Tuesday and Thursday weeknights. This rotation pattern meant that even though someone may not be at college-level in Math and/or English, there was still opportunity to gradually pursue some GE classes during the week, and career classes on the weekends. On-going facilities needs at the Indian Valley Campus must take into account having enough classroom space available at these times to accommodate the general education offerings.

IMAGE: INDIAN VALLEY CAMPUS



MEASURE C MODERNIZATION PROJECTS: 2012 STATUS

MEASURE C PROJECTS	Through careful implementation of the Measure C Modernization funds, the College of Marin has been able to address priority projects, aligned with the needs defined in the 2005 Educational Master Plan.
	Projects defined in the 2005 Facilities Master Plan and funded through Measure C include:
	Kentfield Campus
	Diamond PE Complex Renovation
	New Fine Arts Building
	New Kentfield Bridge
	Geothermal Heat Exchange Infrastructure
	Photovoltaic Parking Canopies
	Indian Valley Campus
	 Transportation Technology Renovation and Addition
	Creek Renovation
	New Main Campus Building
	 Greenhouse Construction, Organic Farm
	Geothermal Heat Exchange Infrastructure
	Power Plant and Gas Line Renovations
	Bond Spending Plan Projects in Design or in Construction include:
	Child Care Center (2012)
	 Austin Science Center Alternations Project (2012)
	 Science, Math, and Central Plant (2012)
	 Accessibility Improvements (2013)
	 Performing Arts Modernization (2013)
	New Academic Center (2014)
	Project Design and Construction is managed by a District consultant that reports
	directly to the District's Modernization Director. The District provides monthly reports
	to the Board of Trustees and Bond Oversight Committee. Similar project updates are

Project Descriptions and imagery are provided on the following pages.

provided to the College students, staff, and faculty.

3.0 COMPLETED MEASURE C PROJECTS **KENTFIELD**

DIAMOND PHYSICAL EDUCATION CENTER KENTFIELD

NEW FINE ARTS BUILDING

The new Fine Arts Building takes advantage of its narrow width to operate on natural ventilation during

D

the temperate season, improving indoor air quality. Large windows allow for natural daylighting. Native, drought-tolerant landscaping has been planted on and around the building.

LEED[®] Silver Certification.

INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS: PHOTOVOLTAIC PARKING CANOPIES

INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS: GEOTHERMAL HEAT EXCHANGE SYSTEM

The Ground Source Heat / Geothermal system on the Kentfield Campus feeds Student Services, Fusselman, and Fine Arts. The system is capable of serving the remainder of the campus in the future.

The upgrade included the pool area, locker rooms, team rooms, and indoor and outdoor classrooms. Natural lighting, efficient heating and air circulation systems, as well as solar panels for heating the pool and energy-producing photovoltaic umbrellas (carports) in the parking lot, led to achieving LEED[®] Gold.



The new Kentfield pedestrian bridge has provided a connection between the parking lot #9 and the new Science & Math Complex. A new bio-swale was installed as part of the creek restoration, capturing all north-campus run-off.

The photovoltaic system was installed as part of the PE Project to provide 50% of the project's electrical demand, inclusive of pool heating.



NEW KENTFIELD BRIDGE

3.0 COMPLETED MEASURE C PROJECTS INDIAN VALLEY

TRANSPORTATION TECHNOLOGY RENOVATION AND ADDITION

NEW MAIN CAMPUS BUILDING

The Transportation Technology Complex experienced a transformation that includes a newly constructed "link" building between auto technology and collision. Interiors have been refurbished including new state-of-theart equipment, information systems, electrical, mechanical, and plumbing. LEED® Silver Certification.

The Main Building Complex houses a variety of workforce development programs in medical and dental assisting, court reporting, and multimedia studies, among others. A new library and Internet Café also reside in the building. The building, completed in spring 2011, received LEED® Gold Certification.

ORGANIC GARDEN SHADE STRUCTURE AND GREEN HOUSE

INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS:

GEOTHERMAL HEAT EXCHANGE SYSTEM

INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS:

CREEK RENOVATION











The new organic garden shade structure and green house provides training and degree programs for students entering careers in local food systems.

A number of critical infrastructure projects have taken place across both the Kentfield and Indian Valley Campuses. The addition of geothermal heat pumps (GHPs) on both campuses is of particular significance. GHPs are a ground-source pumping system that takes advantage of the stable temperatures underground to heat buildings in winter and keep them cool in summer. At the Indian Valley Campus, the scope of work also included installation of site utilities such as a new gas main and 12kv service, as well as extensive storm drain repairs, campuswide landscape fire mitigation, and erosion mitigation at Ignacio Creek. The latter, large-scale project was completed in fall 2008 and included creek bank stabilization and installation of erosion control blankets on bank-graded areas with new native riparian species plantings.

3.0 IN-PROGRESS MEASURE C PROJECTS

SCIENCE, MATH AND CENTRAL PLANT COMPLEX KENTFIELD (2012) A new 77,000 square-foot building housing Science, Math, Anthropology, Nursing programs, and the College's internal IT department. A new Central Plant will be built adjacent to this project to accommodate electrical and mechanical equipment for the campus. Designed LEED® Gold

CHILD STUDY CENTER (2013)



PERFORMING ARTS MODERNIZATION (2013)

NEW ACADEMIC CENTER (2015)

AUSTIN SCIENCE CENTER ALTERNATIONS (2017)



A new academic facility will be erected at the corner of College Avenue and Sir Francis Drake Boulevard. At 43,000 square feet with 16 general purpose classrooms, the project will cost about \$23 million. Sustainable building practices are concomitant with submission for LEED[®] Certification.





A new 6,200 square-foot, modular facility will house office and support areas, a new outdoor deck, play yard and organic garden as well a parking lot and drop-off area. The building will accommodate the Child Development Center and Early Childhood Education program. LEED[®] Certification planned.

A new 8,800 SF dance addition adjoins the existing performing arts building. The addition will include two dance studios, a fine arts gallery, lobby areas, as well as a new elevator. A new exterior arts plaza will connect the new Fine Arts Building with the new Performing Arts Building.



ASC will be utilized as swing space through 2015 and then undergo a voluntary seismic upgrade. Final use of this building is yet to be determined.

3.0 COLLEGE OF MARIN KENTFIELD CAMPUS 2015



3.0 COLLEGE OF MARIN INDIAN VALLEY CAMPUS 2015



Page intentionally left blank.

SUMMARY OF EDUCATIONAL MASTER PLAN UPDATE

The College of Marin Educational Master Plan 2009-2019 projected the future of College of Marin and made general recommendations that addressed current and foreseeable challenges. The document outlined who was being served and what services were being provided; and also projected growth and change in those areas. The plan was grounded in an analysis of the college's current internal systems and programs, as well as external influences, such as demographic trends, and the community's educational interests.

The following priorities were articulated in the plan:

- Student Access: to provide appropriate facilities to enable student access to College resources and services.
- Student Success: to improve student-learning environments on a continuous basis.
- College Systems: to provide facilities that support faculty and staff work requirements, enhance services and operational efficiency through improvements to student support, instructional, and administrative systems and to maintain reliable facilities.
- Community Responsiveness: to promote communication with the community, encourage community involvement, and facilitate community access to information about the College and its educational programs.

These priorities are demonstrated throughout this facilities plan.

EMP CALLS FOR FACILITIES PLAN

The plan was updated in 2011–2012 and the priorities for the next three-year strategic plan were refined based on extensive review and input from the college community. Data from these surveys suggested that future facilities planning include the preservation of views, a strengthened "sense of place" and informal gathering spaces.

The updated Educational Master Plan reiterated the need to complete and implement a new Facilities Plan. In addition, it was requested that there be processes developed that would address accountability for timelines, and accurate deliverables related to facilities updates, and while in 2009, the focus was on the development of the Indian Valley Campus, in 2012 it has been redirected to include both campuses. These priorities are demonstrated in this facilities plan in the following sections.

These new directions have been incorporated into the 2012-2015 Strategic Plan as follows:

Recommendation	Objective
College Systems	
Update facilities and develop processes for accountability, including adherence to timelines and accuracy of deliverables. Develop, complete and implement the COM Facilities Plan 2012 that addresses the physical plant, educational use, and district support of both campuses. (Including CS1*)	Implement and annually evaluate the COM Facilities Plan 2012, which addresses the physical plant, educational use, and district support of both campuses.

SPACE INVENTORY AND NEEDS ASSESSMENT (FUSION DATA)

In order to understand the required facilities and space to support the College of Marin programs, the District approached analysis of space needs in two ways. First, assignable square footage was determined by State standard calculations, utilizing curricular program square footage justifications defined in California Title 5. The outcome of these justifications were compared against the available space at both Kentfield and Indian Valley campuses.

Significant discussion in the FPC meeting transpired regarding State standards for space as defined by WSCH data. Ultimately, it was documented that COM's unique programs, services, and delivery methods (as articulated in the program space needs, see Appendix A) make the state standards a benchmark, but not necessarily the goal.

The comparison data is provided in section 6 of the FP for reference. The plan is therefore driven by factors which directly contribute to effective utilization and quality of COM's facilities, specifically, projects that achieve the objectives of the EMP by:

- Increasing Student Access to facilities (SA)
- Creating teaching environments which support and increase Student Success (SS)
- Improving the College Systems (CS)
- Responding to the external Community (CR)

Envisioning facilities needs of the future will continue to be matched with educational projections and priorities expressed in the Education Master Plan. While there is no anticipated need for significant capacity increase in any area except perhaps in new career education programs, basic skills and distance education, future facilities planning will endeavor to:

- 1. Provide facilities with adequate condition and capacity to accommodate current programs and distribution of class offerings (SA, SS, CR)
- 2. Maintain sufficient classrooms to meet scheduling needs of critical pathways and evening programs (SA, SS)
- 3. Provide lab and lecture classrooms that support modern educational best practices (SS)
- 4. Provide state-of-the-art equipment and technology for students and instructors (SS, CS)
- 5. Within constraints above, reduce overall space capacity to improve utilization and efficiency (CS)
- 6. Wherever feasible, upgrade systems, facilities, and landscape to improve access, safety, security, and health (SA, CS)
- 7. Incorporate sustainability considerations when making facilities improvements (CS, CR)
- 8. Ensure that facilities can be maintained at high quality level in future by considering total cost of ownership (CS, CR)

SPACE JUSTIFICATION AND PROGRAMS

2011 enrollment data was selected for analysis of the required space needs. At the time of this analysis the College offered approximately 64 curricular programs to 7,800 credit students at both the Kentfield and Indian Valley Campuses.

The tables on the following pages identify the curricular programs offered in the Fall of 2011. Both campuses are required to provide complete support services for degree and certificated programs, basic skills, and community education. Services at Indian Valley Campus are expanding to serve enrollment that is growing in General Education and other areas. A vast majority of the Career Technical Education (CTE) programs are located at the Indian Valley Campus. We have documented completed and future projects with reference to their connection to the four Educational Master Plan objectives outlined above.

CURRENT COLLEGE PROGRAMS

Department	Discipline (Program)	FTES Fall '11	FTEF Fall '11
Behavioral Sciences		377	7.7
	Anthropology	77	1.9
	Behavioral Science	38	0.6
	Psychology	193	4.2
	Sociology	69	1.0
Business & Information Systems 1		264	9.4
	Business Office Systems	19	1.0
	Business Accounting &	120	3.5
	Management		
	Computer Information Systems	91	3.8
	Real Estate	25	0.8
	Statistics	9	0.3
Career Education		340	15.0
	Auto Collision Repair Tech	41	1.9
	Administration of Justice	37	0.6
	Automotive Technology	67	2.7
	Court Reporting	58	2.7
	Education	10	0.2
	Electronics	9	0.6
	Environmental Landscaping	20	1.0
	Machine and Metals Technology	33	2.1
	Multimedia Studies	48	2.7
	Work Experience	17	0.6
College Skills		355	23.5
	Credit ESL	116	6.0
	English Skills	132	6.3
	Noncredit ESL	107	11.2
Communications		145	5.2
	Communications/Film	38	1.5
	Journalism	10	0.8
	Speech	96	3.0
English and Humanities		368	12.8
	English	300	11.4
	Philosophy	54	1.4
	Humanities	14	0.0
Fine Arts		339	13.4
	Architecture	15	0.9
	Art	324	12.6
Health Sciences		264	15.3
	Dental Assisting	33	2.0
	Early Childhood Education	76	2.5

CURRENT COLLEGE PROGRAMS

Department	Discipline (Program)	FTES Fall '11	FTEF Fall '11
	Emergency Medical Technician	16	0.7
	Medical Assisting	53	2.0
	Nursing Education	87	8.2
Life & Earth Sciences		328	14.0
	Biology	288	11.6
	Geography	14	0.8
	Geology	26	1.6
Mathematics		361	13.3
	Mathematics	361	13.3
Modern Languages		276	8.9
	American Sign Language	38	0.8
	Chinese	13	0.5
	French	35	1.1
	Italian	32	1.1
	Japanese	30	1.1
	Spanish	127	4.3
Performing Arts		202	10.9
	Dance	58	3.5
	Drama	50	3.0
	Music	94	4.4
Physical Education & Athletics		199	9.1
	Health Education	50	0.8
	Physical Education	149	8.3
Physical Sciences		220	11.0
	Astronomy	38	1.1
	Chemistry	123	6.3
	Computer Science	19	1.1
	Engineering	9	0.7
	Physics	31	1.7
Social Sciences		270	7.1
	Economics	46	1.0
	Ethnic Studies	57	1.0
	Geography	6	0.2
	History	83	2.5
	Political Science	77	2.4
Counseling		28	0.8
	Counseling	26	0.7
	Study Skills	2	0.1
Disabled Students Program		9	0.6
	PE	8	0.6
	Study Skills	0	0

CAPITAL PROJECTS PLANNING

The adjacent Capital Projects Priority List, prepared by the District's Facilities Planning Committee, identifies the Projects key to the continued operation and facilitation of the College's programs and operation.

The buildings identified in the adjacent Capital Projects Priority List require comprehensive modernization, but until which time programmatic need justifies the scale of expenditure, the College is prioritizing maintenance of existing facilities to ensure longevity of current condition and to serve the needs of college programs.

CAPITAL PROJECTS DEFINITION

The following definitions, identified in the Facilities Planning Manual for the California Community Colleges, are utilized herein to classify justification for the identified projects in the adjacent table.

Capacity

Used to express the amount of enrollment that can be accommodated by an amount of space. Projects with this classification have some inadequate space.

Condition

Demands go beyond the capability of operations and maintenance departments and require phased capital outlay improvement projects. Projects with this classification have some deficient condition.

Adequacy

Demands must be evaluated to see if they are educationally adequate for the specific learning outcomes that they are to enable, given better understanding of what kinds of experiences and facilities are essential to these outcomes. Projects with this classification have some inadequacy for the programs taught within the facility.

Cost Efficiency

The addition of 'cost efficiency' as a category of need to capacity, condition, and adequacy allows for greater innovation to cope with the reduction in both operations and capital outlay funding and to more effectively make use of public funds overall. Projects with this classification may be inefficient to operate or maintain.

4.0 FACILITIES PLANNING: 2012 FACILITIES PLAN: CAPITAL PROJECT PLAN

Priority	Campus	Project name	Cost Estimate	Reference to Ed MP	ference to Standards	Timeline	Adequacy, Capacity, Condition, Cost Efficiency
					Se		~ 0

CAPITAL PROJECTS PLANNING OVER \$1,000,000

1	KTD	Modernize Fusselman Hall includes ADA	5,165,118	SA, SS, CR	B1a, B1b, B2b	2016-2019	Adq., Cond., Cost Effic.
2	IVC	Pool Building Modernization includes ADA	4,204,406	SA, SS, CR	B1b, B2b	2016-2017	Cond., Cost Effic.
3	KTD	Renovate Learning Resource Center include ADA	28,099,502	SA, SS, CR	B1a, B1b, B2	2017-2020	Adeq., Cap., Cond., Cost Effic.
4	KTD	Renovate Student Services Center (Student Union) includes ADA	6,842,661	SA, SS, CR	B1a, B1b, B2	2020-2025	Adeq., Cond., Cost Effic.
5	KTD	New Facilities Management Center (M&O)	6,982,574	CS	B1b, B2a	2020-2025	Adeq., Cap., Cond., Cost Effic.
6	IVC	Pool Modernization	2,864,900	SA, SS, CR	B1b, B2b	2021-2025	Cond., Cost Effic.
7	KTD	ADA scope not covered by Measure C project	TBD	SA, SS, CR	B1b, B2b	2021-2025	Cond.
8	IVC	ADA scope not covered by Measure C project	TBD	SA, SS, CR	B1b, B2b	2021-2025	Cond.

CAPITAL PROJECTS PLANNING UNDER \$1,000,000

1	IVC	Pomo 3 Re-roofing	208,000	CS	B1b, B2a	TBD	Cond., Cost Effic.
2	IVC	Pomo 5 Re-roofing	208,000	CS	B1b, B2a	TBD	Cond., Cost Effic.
3	IVC	Pomo 6 Re-roofing	286,000	CS	B1b, B2a	TBD	Cond., Cost Effic.
4	IVC	Pomo 7 Re-roofing	364,000	CS	B1b, B2a	TBD	Cond., Cost Effic.
5	IVC	Building 17 Re-roofing (Library)	312,000	CS	B1b, B2a	TBD	Cond., Cost Effic.
6	IVC	Miwok 13 Re-roofing	208,000	CS	B1b, B2a	TBD	Cond., Cost Effic.
7	IVC	Miwok 14 Re-roofing	208,000	CS	B1b, B2a	TBD	Cond., Cost Effic.
8	IVC	Miwok 15 Re-roofing	208,000	CS	B1b, B2a	TBD	Cond., Cost Effic.
9	IVC	Miwok 16 Re-roofing	208,000	CS	B1b, B2a	TBD	Cond., Cost Effic.
10	IVC	Building 22 Re-roofing (corp yd)	182,000	CS	B1b, B2a	TBD	Cond., Cost Effic.
11	IVC	Covered Walkways	60,000	CS	B1b, B2	TBD	Cond., Cost Effic.
12	IVC	Ohlone 18 Re-roofing	312,000	CS	B1b, B2a	TBD	Cond., Cost Effic.
13	IVC	Ohlone 19 Re-roofing	312,000	CS	B1b, B2a	TBD	Cond., Cost Effic.
14	IVC	Ohlone 20 Re-roofing	286,000	CS	B1b, B2a	TBD	Cond., Cost Effic.
15	Both	Smart Classrooms (not covered	TBD	SS, SA,CS	B1a, B1b, B2	TBD	Adeq.
		by Bond)					
16	IVC	2nd Greenhouse/Land to teach	TBD	SS, SA,CS	B1a, B2	TBD	Adeq., Cap.
		conventional (non-organic) plant					
17	KTD	Campus Green renovation	TBD	SA, CR, CS	Bla, B2a	TBD	Cond.
		(NAC/Fine Arts area)					

Note: Group 2 projects are prioritized and planned to be executed in this order based on available funding according to the facilities operational plan, currently under development. Received on 6/13/2012

INTENT/PURPOSE

The District will be implementing the use of live-date linkage to the State FUSION database, through software entitled, Onuma. Onuma has recently been identified by the State Chancellor's Office in several pilot projects linking District data with the State's robust facilities database. This existing database embodies the State's understanding of the District programs, student demographics, space inventory, and facilities condition. Onuma is a scalable system, allowing the district to add information to the database as that data is collected. Some facilities may have more information than others, depending on what is on-hand or can be collected. By mapping information and data to and from the FUSION network, the College of Marin will facilitate greater ability to affirm alignment between the State and District databases. Facilities planning is fostered by linkage of time-sensitive data related to annual requirements of the Chancellor's Office; e.g. 5-year Capital Plans, M&O planning, Space Inventory Updates, Facilities Condition Updates, and future submittals for state capital funding. Additionally, the District will be able to ensure consistency of curricular information that defines the appropriate space inventory in support of the District's programs.

The Indian Valley Campus Site Plan and Buildings shown in the Onuma Interface. Facility color is identifying the Facility Condition Index (FCI), directly linked from the State's FUSION database.



PILOT FACILITIES

The District has selected two (2) Kentfield buildings as pilot facilities for the initial implementation of the Onuma System. The existing Library Resource Center (LRC) and new Science Math & Central Plant (SMCP) were selected. By analyzing both, an existing and new facility, the District hopes to identify the required approach, initial and on-going effort, and the developed value.

SYSTEMS/DATA SELECTION

Not every building system needs to be tracked. The pilot projects will assist in identifying the most valuable data worthy of on-going tracking and analysis. The process will start by gathering multiple systems information. Through collection of this information and implementation within Onuma, additional data may be gathered while some data-points may be deemed less valuable and terminated.

The LRC and SMCP buildings in the Onuma Interface

The LRC floor plan, directly linked to/from the State FUSION database while the new SMCP building (in construction) will be linked to the FUSION database upon its occupancy in 2013.



FACILITY DEFINITION

SCIENCE MATH & CENTRAL PLANT

A digital building model of the Science, Math and Central Plant Complex has been developed from the 2D CAD files received from the Project's design and engineering team. While this facility is still under construction, floor plans, systems data, and Maintenance & Operations information can be documented in Onuma.

LEARNING RESOURCE CENTER

A digital building model of the Science Math and Central Plant Complex has been developed from the original construction documents. The accuracy of the model is dependent on those original floor plans. This first step has allowed the virtual connection of the State-level information with that of the College.

SYSTEMS DEFINITION

The District is initially tracking systems and building components that have the highest and greatest value to the regular maintenance and operations of its facilities. These systems include mechanical equipment, electrical lighting, door and hardware information. Other Mechanical, Plumbing, & Electrical (MPE) systems data are being captured. Some may be later discarded as not valuable to the regular M&O practices, but this pilot project provides opportunity to understand the value of each data collected.

Interior building finishes are being defined so that M&O effort and costs related to the upkeep of these materials can be understood. It is the District's intent to provide definition of budgets for staff, supplies, and regular maintenance of these materials and systems.

In the capture and use of this information, the District is ensuring alignment with industry standards. The industry standard is COBie. As defined by Building SMART Alliance, "COBie, (Construction Operations Building Information Exchange) is an information exchange specification for the life-cycle capture and delivery of information needed by facility managers. COBie can be viewed in design,

2012 College of Marin Facilities Plan | Chapter 5 Sustainability of College of Marin Facilities

Building SMART Alliance

www.buildingsmartalliance.org

construction, and maintenance software as well as in simple spreadsheets. This versatility allows COBie to be used in all projects regardless of size and technological sophistication." First initiated by The National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the White House Office of Science and Technology Policy in 2005, COBie now serves as an industry standard in the tracking or building systems.





COBie spreadsheet of system components in the Science Math & Central Plant Building

Onuma interface: SMCP pump information/data

MECHANICAL / PLUMBING SYSTEMS

Immediately upon implementation of the Onuma database, the District's master planning architect has begun entering mechanical and plumbing systems data. Boilers, chillers, air handlers, exhaust fans, and more have been entered into the database, located within the building floor plans, and are linked to the facility master plan database. By being located within the digital floor plan of the building, staff can

identify and locate equipment before seeking the actual unit in the building. Below, a list of initial Mechanical systems documented and located in the building:

- Closed Circuit Cooling Tower
- Boiler
- Pump
- Geothermal Water Source Heat Pump
- Toilet Exhaust Fan
- General Exhaust Fan
- Gravity Ventilator
- Split System Air Conditioning and Heat Pump
- Packaged Rooftop Air Conditioning Unit
- Plumbing Fixtures

ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS

While the above mechanical systems are a large component of the district's electrical demand, additional data can be gathered in understanding the lighting and plug loads within a single building or across the District. Initially lighting in the SMCP will be documented. Energy consumption can be understood, but additionally, tracking of fixture lifespan and replacement needs can be tracked.

BUILDING SYSTEMS & FINISHES

Through the identification and analysis of type, location, and condition of building finishes, staff can analyze the time, resources, and costs associated with the appropriate maintenance or replacement.

Interior finishes in the buildings are identified and in the facility database. Initially, all flooring materials in the LRC and SMCP buildings will be identified and graphically available in the database. Future, additional finishes will be identified and entered.

In looking at a building, or across the District, staff can identify the costs of having more or less of these finishes. Should alternatives be desired, replacement with more cost effective materials may make sense.



Onuma interface: SMCP Floor Finishes

ENERGY ENERGY DEMAND

The Onuma database is able to document historic energy demand. To facilitate this analysis, the District has been monitoring and collecting the electrical service demand of the Library Resource Center (LRC). Additional data will continue to be collected so that the value of future modernization or upgrades to the facility and its systems can be understood. It is through this type of analysis that the District will be able to determine Return on Investment (ROI) for future projects on the LRC or other district facilities that will eventually be added into the database.

The District has invested in photovoltaic panels on several buildings and in parking lots. As these systems are added to the Onuma database, the energy production can be tracked and savings communicated to District stakeholders. Future investments in energy production may be analyzed against known savings.

ONUMA WORK TICKETING

Onuma interface: Work Order interface and work order status display.

Marchine Barriet has been a britte	2
Your Work Order Request has been submitte	ed.
COM Kentfield Campus - Science Center Prop	osal
Name of Requestor	_
Jane Doe	
mail (optional - used for status notification)	_
JaneDoe@Marin.edu	
Building:	-
Library	1
Floor:	-
U2 - Floor I	1
Room:	t.
EC20 - Classiooni	1
ocation Southcost correct could up from well	
Southeast corner, carpet pulled up from wall	
ocation Southeast corner, carpet pulled up from wall ocation Description: Along the floor at baseboard, carpet is pulling	up.
Decition Southeast corner, carpet pulled up from wall Decition Description: Along the floor at baseboard, carpet is pulling Please describe the reason for your Work Order	up.
Decition Southeast corner, carpet pulled up from wall Decition Description: Along the floor at baseboard, carpet is pulling Please describe the reason for your Work Order Request: Repair needed	up.
ocation Southeast corner, carpet pulled up from wall ocation Description: Along the floor at baseboard, carpet is pulling Please describe the reason for your Work Order Request: Repair needed	up.
ocation Southeast corner, carpet pulled up from wall ocation Description: Along the floor at baseboard, carpet is pulling Please describe the reason for your Work Order Request: Repair needed Please attach any relevant photos:	up.
ocation Southeast corner, carpet pulled up from wall ocation Description: Along the floor at baseboard, carpet is pulling Please describe the reason for your Work Order Request: Repair needed Please attach any relevant photos: Choose File. No file chasen	up.
Decation Southeast corner, carpet pulled up from wall Location Description: Along the floor at baseboard, carpet is pulling Please describe the reason for your Work Order Request: Repair needed Please attach any relevant photos: Choose File, No file chosen Choose File, No file chosen	up.
Decision Southeast corner, carpet pulled up from wall Decision Description: Along the floor at baseboard, carpet is pulling Please describe the reason for your Work Order Request: Repair needed Please attach any relevant photos: Choose File, No file chosen	up.
Decision Southeast corner, carpet pulled up from wall Cocation Description: Along the floor at baseboard, carpet is pulling Please describe the reason for your Work Order Request: Repair needed Please attach any relevant photos: Choose File No file chosen Choose File No file chosen Jrgency of Request:	up.

The College of Marin is researching multiple platforms for campus-wide work order submittal and tracking. Onuma has recently released a Work Order function within the standard platform. The District is in the process of evaluating options.

The Onuma interface is completely customizable. The submittal interface can request and document as much, or as little detail as is desired. Administrative levels can be defined so that existing, or preferred college processes and communication methods are followed. Specific details can be added or edits, then assign to a technician. Onuma can automatically email the work order to the assigned tech, providing all of the necessary information, including a plan of the building and the location where work is required. The M&O administrators can view the entire campus backlog, a single building, or the browser can be sorted by request date, status, trade, or any other variable desired.

Because the Onuma database can have every campus, building, room, or system within in its database, work orders can be tagged to any level of system component; a sidewalk at Kentfield, or a specific room in building 2 at Indian Valley, or Pump #2 at the Central Plant in the new Science and Math Facility. Photos, images, written documents can all be attached to work orders for an even greater level of detail. Work Order status can be graphically indicated. And, because Onuma is web-based, all of this can be accessed by desktop computer, laptop, tablet, or smart phone.

					 Space Attributes 	and and a
					Work Orders - Status	 Selection
				10 12	New / Assigned	
					All Trades	-
				0 5	Complete / Closed / De Declined / Duplicated / Cancelled	elayed /
SC155CH	SC158CH Class	SC159 Class		7 +	All Trades	
Class Lab	Lab	Lab	IH	2	Final Space Relationsh	ips
3.086/08	SC1680	- mann			Space Components	
Class Lab Service	Class Lab	Class	\Box	$\odot \oslash$	Background Setti	ng Unlack

FACILITIES MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS ORGANIZATION

The District's Maintenance and Operations Department is responsible for the planning, operation, and maintenance of all district sites and facilities. With a Director and three (3) Supervisors, the District's M&O team is headquartered on the Kentfield Campus. The Maintenance and Operations Department reports to the Vice President of College Operations.

The information and analysis below is documented in order to share the intentional planning and adjustments to Maintenance & Operational practices being developed by the District. It also shares the current practices of work order (WO) requests. This data will also be utilized to better identify and allocate resources needed to maintain sites and facilities in a significantly more sustainable state and enhance teaching conditions.

WORK ORDER PROCESS OVERVIEW

All District/Campus faculty and administrative staff have the ability to submit work orders/maintenance requests. Currently, the M&O Administrative Assistant receives these request(s) via paper forms, voice mail and e-mail, with the majority of request(s) coming via phone/e-mail: sometimes upward of 30+ calls per day. These callers, depending on the problem, are directed to submit a paper work order. Upon receipt of the work order, the Administrative Assistant dates and forwards it to the M&O Director, who in turn assigns it to one of the (3) maintenance department supervisors, depending on the type of action required. Anything that has the potential for a significant cost impact is reviewed prior to the work being assigned. This provides for the assignment of a cost and special allocation if necessary. Priority is determined by the M&O Director.

Prior to Measure C, the District did maintain a Microsoft Access database of all work orders, though its use and practice was not consistent. The use of this application ceased at the initiation of the Measure C bond program due to the considerable increase in work load and staffing limitations. Very little digital recording or tracking of work has been completed over the past 6 to 8 years and the M&O office recognizes that there is no sufficient mechanism to acknowledge receipt and/or status of work orders. Additionally, there is no ability for the Administrative Assistant to provide a work order status update to inquiring submitters.

Research is currently being undertaken to evaluate opportunities for work order automation. Use of such a tool will enable faculty and staff to submit work orders electronically, track them and get updates. This system will also improve efficiency within the maintenance and operations department with online assignment of work, accounting for material cost/ labor time data, and providing a more effective use of resources available. The District intends to have a solution identified by September 2012.

GENERAL FACILITY ASSESSMENT

Through interviews with the Maintenance and Operations staff, the following general overview of the conditions and problem areas were noted on each campus. This level of information, along with work order analysis, and educational priorities feed directly into the District's Facilities Planning Committee evaluation of Capital Projects.

KENTFIELD:

Kentfield facilities concerns include heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems, leaks within buildings, unreliable elevator systems, plumbing, access barriers, and unacceptable site conditions.

INDIAN VALLEY:

Roof repairs and leaks are a constant effort on older buildings. Main building: currently working through some heating/cooling issues The Transportation Technology Building has mechanical issues that are being reviewed for resolution.

SUSTAINABILITY One of the major goals of the College Of Marin's Modernization Program was to improve energy efficiency and sustainability. To that end, the District has done a number of projects through the Bond Program which have been acknowledged in this document.

Over the course of the past year, we have taken advantage of the rebates available from PG&E that have enabled us to improve the lighting energy efficiency in a number of our older buildings. We have saved as much as 44%-52% with an average cost savings per year ranging from \$6,002.04 in building #3 on the Indian Valley Campus (IVC) to \$18,400.17 in the Performance Arts building on the Kentfield Campus. Based on other proposed electrical lighting upgrades at the IVC, the District is looking at an additional, minimum savings of approximately \$38,000.00 per year.

The Saving by Design Program, another PG&E rebate program has provided over \$400,000.00 which has been earmarked to be used for maintaining our new construction and renovated facilities. We are currently anticipating \$315,975.00 in rebate from the Science Math Central Plant Project.

Another significant improvement with respect to energy efficiency and sustainability was the installation of the Geothermal Field at the IVC which completely eliminated our conventional Power Plant Two.

Through these past and on-going Projects, the District continues to demonstrate a commitment to reducing energy demand, thereby reducing the District's energy costs and providing evidence of positive stewardship of the environment.

Page intentionally left blank.

6.0 APPENDIX A

SPACE JUSTIFICATION

The inventory of District facilities is an important tool in the planning and management of college campuses. The Education Code mandates an annual inventory of all facilities in the District and this data is collected and maintained in the California Community College FUSION database. The database includes data on the buildings and rooms assignable square footage, total number of stations and laboratory capacity.

To understand a District's space needs, one needs to look at the existing space capacity, future space capacity (for projects already planned and funded), current enrollment as well as future expected enrollment. Title 5 of the California Administrative Code prescribes standards for the utilization and planning of five categories of spaces on public community college campuses: lecture, laboratory, office, library and AV/TV (Audiovisual / Television). The calculations are based on WSCH (weekly student contact hours) for Lecture and Laboratory, FTEFS (full-time equivalent faculty and staff) for Office, and Day-Graded Enrollment for Library and AV/TV spaces.

Through analysis undertaken within the 2012 Facilities Plan, the College of Marin has defined a long-range plan for the alignment of programmatic need with available campus space. Analysis has been aligned with the California Title 5 space justification terminology, evaluating metrics in Lecture, Lab, Office, Library, and AV/TV categories. This analysis has facilitated a plan to reallocate existing space that can bring the District's Lecture, Lab, and Office space within justification ranges, while ensuring increased support of Library needs.

The Space Capacity calculations for both the Kentfield and Indian Valley Campuses were based on the 2011 Space Inventory data in FUSION. The existing Space Needs were calculated by utilizing the FUSION Space Inventory Data and the WSCH, FTEFS and Day Graded Enrollment Data for the Fall Semester of 2011, provided by the District. Future projections are through 2019, matching with the recent Educational Master Plan update.

The future Space Capacity (post-Measure C Projects, through 2019) were calculated based on the existing Space Inventory, plus the changes resulting from Measure C projects, as detailed in the District's 2014-18 Five Year Construction Plan. The future Space Needs calculations were based on a 1% per year growth rate in WSCH, FTEFS and Day Graded Enrollment, with the assumption that the percentage of lecture WSCH and laboratory WSCH would remain the same as Fall 2011.

6.0 APPENDIX A

SPACE INVENTORY AND NEEDS ASSESSMENT

At the Kentfield Campus, post-Measure C, the District will have significantly reduced its overages in Lecture and Laboratory capacity. Through the same projects, there will be an over-reduction of campus Office capacity. While it improves its deficiency in Library capacity, it still remains under capacity in this category and there is no change to the AV/TV deficiency.

Measure C projects at the Indian Valley Campus have been completed. Indicated, future increase to space needs are the outcome of WSCH, FTEFS and Day-Graded Enrollment growth projected at the 1% per year rate identified above.

Campus Programmatic Need And Capacity Analysis

Any space capacity change will be accomplished through reduction in space through taking facilities off-line or future demolition as deemed appropriate by the Board of Trustees.

KENTF	IELD	Lecture ASF	Lab ASF	Office ASF	Library ASF	AV/TV ASF	All Other ASF	Total Campus ASF
	Kentfield 2011 ASF Capacity	34,400	71,134	41,904	19,126	3,362	39,843	237,558
2011	Kentfield 2011 ASF Needed	20,949	39,571	36,680	28,744	12,779	NA	
2011	ASF Difference	13,451	31,563	5,224	-9,618	-9,417	NA	
	Percentage Difference	164%	180%	114%	67%	26%		
	Kentfield 2019 ASF Capacity	29,316	54,197	35,617	22,556	3,362	51,383	224,220
2010	Kentfield 2019 ASF Needed	22,685	42,849	39,719	30,556	13,838	NA	
2019	ASF Difference	6,631	11,348	-4,102	-8,000	-10,476	NA	
	Percentage Difference	129%	126%	90%	74%	24%		
NET CHANG	ìES	-35%	-53%	-25%	7%	-2%		-13,338

INDIA	N VALLEY	Lecture ASF	Lab ASF	Office ASF	Library ASF	AV/TV ASF	All Other ASF	Total Campus ASF
	IVC 2011 ASF Capacity	17,925	54,771	19,138	2,547	1,104	35,266	137,212
2011	IVC 2011 ASF Needed	2,835	25,074	6,240	6,310	2,255	NA	
2011	ASF Difference	15,090	29,697	12,898	-3,763	-1,151	NA	
	Percentage Difference	632%	218%	307%	40%	49%		
	IVC 2019 ASF Capacity	17,925	54,771	19,138	2,547	1,104	35,266	137,212
2010	IVC 2019 ASF Needed	3,070	27,152	6,757	6,700	2,442	NA	
ASF Difference Percentage Difference		14,855	27,619	12,381	-4,153	-1,338	NA	
		584%	202%	283%	38%	45%		
NET CHANG	IET CHANGES		-17%	-23%	-2%	-4%		0

ANALYSIS OUTCOME

At the completion of Measure C, both campuses will have excess capacity in the Lecture & Laboratory categories, and are under capacity in the Library and AV/TV categories. The Kentfield Campus becomes under capacity in Office, while the Indian Valley Campus remains over capacity in this category. Based on the above analysis, at the end of Measure C the District as a whole has space justifications for Library and AV/TV spaces, but not in the other categories.

6.0 APPENDIX B REFERENCES – KTD

Campus Plans on this Page are From 2005

Comprehensive Facilities Master Plan



6.0 APPENDIX B REFERENCES – KTD

Campus Plans on this Page are From 2005 Comprehensive Facilities Master Plan



6.0 APPENDIX B REFERENCES – KTD

This plan represents the campus facilities that will be in place at the completion of all Measure C projects.



6.0 APPENDIX C REFERENCES – IVC

Campus Plans on this Page are From 2005 Comprehensive Facilities Master Plan



BOND SPENDING PLAN



6.0 APPENDIX C REFERENCES – IVC

The Long Range Development Plan on this Page are From 2005 Comprehensive Facilities Master Plan

LONG RANGE DEVELOPMENT PLAN



POST MEASURE C CAMPUS PLAN



6.0 APPENDIX D

CHARGE OF THE FACILITIES PLANNING COMMITTEE

FACILITIES PLANNING COMMITTEE CHARGE

The Facilities Planning Committee operates as a subcommittee of the Planning and Resource Allocation Committee to ensure faculty, staff and student involvement in the planning, design, construction, upkeep and use of College owned facilities to foster student success.

RESPONSIBILITIES

- Recommend facility capital renewal and replacement needs and priorities.
- Recommend facility and scheduled maintenance needs and priorities.
- Participate in the planning of any major Capitol Facility Projects five hundred thousand dollars and above, in accordance with District Procedures.
- Deliberate and make recommendations in support of the Planning and Resource Allocation Committee Timeline.
- Ensure the creation of a Five Year Facilities Plan that includes facilities usage needs based on the Educational Master Plan.
- Make recommendations to the Planning and Resource Allocation Committee.
- Monitor the creation of a long term scheduled maintenance & preventative maintenance program.

COMPOSITION

- 2 faculty appointed by the Academic Senate
- 2 classified staff appointed by the official appointing body for classified staff.
- 2 students appointed by the Student Senate
- 2 managers appointed by the Superintendent/President
- Vice President of College Operations will serve as a non-voting staff resource.
- The Chair or Co-Chairs will be elected from the group.

6.0 APPENDIX E PROJECTED ROOM USAGE OF SMCP



6.0 APPENDIX F MASTER SCHEDULE FOR STEM AND NURSING MAJORS : SPRING 2006

9																															are	Ħ	
6				(2)	(3)																(2)	(3)									ctions, labs	n classes, b	
8	5 Lab	:0 Lab (2)	4 Lab (2)	C131 Lab	C110 Lab		2 Lect (2)	4 Lect (3)	21 (2)	M124 (2)	(2)	M105(2)		1 Lect (2)	0 Lect (3))4 (2)			.0 Lab (2)	.4 Lab (2)	C132 Lab	C114 Lab	M124 (2)	M105(2)	(2)						ple lecture/lab se	ic lecture in Cher	0000
6 7	E24	Lect 1 B12	Lect B22	C131D(2)	C110D(3)	M124 (2)	C13	C11	M12		B240 Lab			C13	C11	M10	M124 (2)	E245	Lect 1 B12	Lect B22	C132D(2)	C114D(3)			B240 Lab						Note: for multi	tied to a specifulation of the second	
5	A	<mark>B120</mark>	<mark>B224</mark>								B240 Lect		-						<mark>B120</mark>	B224					B240 Lect								
4	Comp 150			(1)			(2)	(1)						2 Lab	(1)	(1)					(2)											Dhveice	Fliyatea
3		(1)	(1)	C114 Lab(8B Lab		C114 Lab	C110 Lab(7C Lab				-	C232	C131 Lab(C132 Lab(Comp 200		(1)	(1)	C110 Lab(7A Lab				0					-	
2		<mark>B120 Lab</mark>	<mark>B224 Lab</mark>		P10				P20					ect					<mark>B120 Lab</mark>	<mark>B224 Lab</mark>		C115 Lab	P20				Comp 110					1+CM	INICIA
1				C114D(1)			C114D(2)	C110D(1)		B115	9		դր 117	C232 L	C131D(1)	C132D(1)					C110D(2)			B115	6		np 117		2 Lab			inconinc	Illeeilig
12				ct (1)	P108B		ct (2)	ct (1)	ct (1)		B11	2	5 Con			ct (1)	P108B				ct (2)	ct (1)	ct (1)		B11	2	5 Con		C23		ips:	End	5113
11		M121 (1)	M104 (1)	C114 Le			C114 Le	C131 Le	C132 Le	(3)		M12	Comp 23	M121 (1)	M104 (1)	C114 Le	P108BC				C114 Le	C131 Le	C132 Le	(3)		M12	Comp 235				<mark>ur field tr</mark> i	n Coi	ine di
10		M105(1)		P207C			10 Lec(1,2)	C115 Lect	7 A	B120 Lab	(1)		E220	M105(1)		P207C	P110				10 Lec(1,2)	C115 Lect	7 A	B120 Lab	(1)		E220	M105(1)	232 Lect		<mark>เร 2 8-hoเ</mark>		50
6		23	24 (1)	M224			C1		P20	20 Lect 2	B240 Lab			23	24	M224					C1		P20	20 Lect 2	B240 Lab			23	24 0	M224	5 include	mictur	emisury
8		M12	M12	M223						B12				M12	M12	M223								B12				M12	M12	M223	te: Bio11		5
-																															ou	Diology	DIVIUUS
	Mon						Tue							Wed							Thu							Fri					



6.0 APPENDIX G LECTURE SPACE ANALYSIS

Replaces most functions currently in following 4 buildings: Admin, Business, Harlan, and Olney

- Administrative offices
- Faculty offices
- ESL/College Skills Program
- Auditorium (OH 96)
- Computer labs/classrooms (BC 101, 102/104)
- Lecture classrooms

How many (and what size) classrooms in NAC?

- Will be used by many disciplines
- NAC is last Measure C project
- Will determine total classroom inventory at KTD
- → How many (and what size) classrooms needed <u>at KTD</u>?

# Occupied Lect	ure Rooms	KTD camp	us Spring	2010
Time Block	Mon	Tues	Wed	Thurs
8:10 - 9:30	26	16	23	19
9:40 - 11:00	47	40	43	42
11:10 - 12:30	41	36	37	33
12:40 - 14:00	39	21	33	20
14:10 - 15:30	16	13	13	13
15:40 - 17:00	8	12	8	13
17:10 - 18:00	9	6	9	5
18:10 - 21:00	42	44	42	35
Includes Credit & Non Cr				

Includes Credit & Non-Credit classes in designated 'Lecture' classroo Does not include FA, BC101, BC102



Peak Periods = 9:40-11am & Evening (6-9pm)

• Although constraints exist, morning peak can be alleviated to some extent by 'redistribution'

Evening peak needs drive room planning

...(as shown later)... establishes target of 44 Lecture Rooms

F	loom Siz	e Dist	ribu	tion	Α	na	lys	is			
Size Range (# students)	Tues eve Demand	KTD Total	е кооі NAC	n Supp non- NAC Total	тв	PV	-IVIO ASC	LC	PE	FH	NSC
1 - 30	20	14	7	7	1	0	2	2	1	0	1
31 - 42	19	19	6	13	1	4	2	2	1	0	3
43 - 60	3	7	0	7	1	1	3	0	0	0	2
61 - 120	2	4	0	4	0	1	1	0	0	2	0
TOTAL	44	44	13	31	3	6	8	4	2	2	6



KENTFIELD CAMPUS 835 COLLEGE AVE. KENTFIELD, CA 94904 **INDIAN VALLEY CAMPUS** 1800 IGNACIO BLVD. NOVATO, CA 94949 **WWW.MARIN.EDU** 415.457.8811

College of Marin is fully accredited by WASC and serves approximately 10,000 credit and noncredit students per semester. The college is committed by policy not to discriminate on the basis of, or the perception of, race, ethnic group identification, ancestry, color, religion, age, gender, national origin, sexual orientation, disability (mental or physical), marital status, medical condition (cancer, genetic characteristics, or pregnancy), and status as a veteran in any of its educational and employment programs and activities, or in its policies, practices and procedures.

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER. PLEASE RECYCLE WHEN FINISHED. (09/2012)